



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/863,594	05/23/2001	Jorg Rheims	VOI0189.US 9308		
7590 01/15/2004			EXAMINER		
Todd T. Taylor TAYLOR & AUST. P.C.			ALVO, MARC S		
142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560 Avilla, IN 467	710		1731 DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004		
1171114, 114 107	10				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	h
	ν			ι,
· Office Action Summary		09/863,594	RHEIMS ET AL	
	- Control Cummany	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Steve Alvo	1731	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
- External files of the control of t	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic	eation.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2003 .		
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for formal mate	ters, prosecution as to the mer 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-32 is/are withdray			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
	on Papers	•		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		·	ation).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures and the comment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has bee	en received.	-
Attachment				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ommary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office			

Application/Control Number:

09/863,594

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 mare rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Green with HANSEN (4,055,903) or CARLSMITH (5,810,973) to show inherency.

GREEN et al teach adding calcium carbonate solid particles (column 4, lines 59-61) to load paper pulp with the calcium carbonate filler and teaches that the pulp should be subjected to mechanical treatment in a refiner (column 4, lines 3-5) or disintegrator (column 6, lines 33-39). Such mechanical agitation would inherently fluff the pulp. HANSEN or CARLSMITH show that disintegrators ((column 4, lines 54-55) or refiners (column 1, lines 58-59) fluff the pulp.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GREEN et al in view of HANSEN (4,055,903) or CARLSMITH (5,810,973).

If the fluffing of pulp is not inherently taught by GREEN et al, then it would have been obvious that the refiner and/or disintegrator of GREEN et al would be fluffing the pulp as taught by HANSEN (4,055,903) or CARLSMITH (5,810,973).

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not convincing as Applicant has not shown that the intensive agitation of GREEN et al would not fluff the pulp. Although GREEN et al teaches the fibers may also receive mechanical treatment, such as refining or beating prior to lumen-loading (column 4, lines 3-5); GREEN et al teaches that this mechanical treatment can occur prior to lumen-loading or "during the impregnation stage", see column 6, lines 37-39.

Application/Control Number: 09/863.594

Art Unit: 1731

Obviously, the mechanical treatment would include the refining of the fibers prior to or "during impregnation". It is also noted that when the fibers are mechanically fluffed in the refiner or pulper prior to lumen-loading, that the intensity of the mechanical aspects of the impregnation steps are increased to overcome the filtering out of lumen particles (column 4, lines 6-10). This increased mechanical treatment would fluff the fibers. It is also noted that the disintegrator is described by GREEN et al as providing mild agitation (column 6, lines 32-34). Since a disintegrator is known to fluff the pulp, see HANSEN, any increased agitation would also fluff the pulp. GREEN refers to the agitation during loading and impregnation as "extensive" (column 6, line35), vigorously agitated (column 4, last line) and of increased intensity (column line 9) when mechanically treated prior to loading, e.g. increased greater than the "vigorously agitated" of column 4, last line. Clearly the mechanical agitation during the impregnation and loading of GREEN et al would fluff the fibers.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

09/863,594 Art Unit: 1731

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone number for this TC 1700 is: 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary** examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Steve Griffin, can be reached on 571-272-1189.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is 571-272-1700.

MSA January 9, 2004

STEVE ALVO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731